PRI AWARDS 2020: CATEGORIES AND CRITERIA

STEWARDSHIP PROJECT OF THE YEAR

DESCRIPTION
Entries in this category could include collaborative engagement initiatives, shareholder resolutions, public policy engagements or projects including a combination of these.

Judges will base their decision on the alignment of the project with PRI’s framework for leadership in stewardship: Active Ownership 2.0. Each will be assessed based on the degree to which they use all available tools to seek real world outcomes, the degree to which they seek to address a key systemic issue (i.e. a common goal) and the degree to which they use collaboration between investors and beyond investors as a vehicle to achieving progress.

Collaborative engagements that are coordinated solely by the PRI are not eligible for this award. Collaborative initiatives in any form must be submitted for the initiative overall, rather than for the contributions of one (or a subset of) participant(s).

Submissions must either have the sign off of all signatories participating in the initiative or a suitable governance body for the initiative (e.g. a steering committee).

ENTRY QUESTIONS
1. Give a brief overview of your project, its objectives, and why you decided to undertake it. (400 words)
2. Describe how your project is aligned to Active Ownership 2.0, including:
   • The significance of the systemic, real world outcomes it seeks (max 400 words)
   • The ambition, ingenuity or effort in the responsible investment tools/activities that were deployed (max 400 words)
   • The challenges associated with this initiative and (e.g. free rider issues hindering first movers) how these were overcome and what was learned (max 400 words)
3. The results, including evaluation of its success against the objectives; any adjustments to the forward agenda; any insights learned from this project that can be applied more broadly? (400 words)
JUDGING CRITERIA

Impact (20 points available):
- Does the initiative clearly address adequately ambitious and meaningful real-world systemic outcomes? If an intermediate step towards this, how credible, necessary and sufficient is it in the context of a strong theory of change? (20)

Objectives (20 points available):
- Does the project evidence genuine commitment to the outcomes (vs display of outcomes), e.g. a clear escalation pathway if early-stage milestones are not achieved? (10)
- How well-adapted was the project to achieving the objectives? (10)

Collaboration (10 points available):
- How was collaboration used to amplify the ambition, effectiveness or results? (10)

Highest possible total score: 50 points

ESG INCORPORATION INITIATIVE OF THE YEAR

DESCRIPTION
This award recognises the incorporation of one or more ESG factors into investment decision-making. The winner could be a new ESG tool, technique or product, and will most likely have broken new ground in the depth of analysis or the innovation of approach, or by addressing a challenging asset class or an issue whose materiality is difficult to quantify. Entrants should give real examples of how their approach has worked in practice by clearly demonstrating the impact on one or more securities, issuers, sectors, countries, asset classes, or portfolios (these can be anonymised) through valuations, investment performance and/or buy-in from investment professionals and senior management.

ENTRY QUESTIONS
1. Give a brief overview of your innovative approach to ESG incorporation, its coverage within your firm and why you decided to undertake this approach. (400 words)
2. How does this approach stand out in the market? Why is it unique? (250 words)
3. Give a practical example of how you have applied your approach to an investment (security/issuer/sector/asset class/portfolio), including any challenges faced and how you adapted to them. (250 words)
4. What were the outcomes of this initiative for the investment and how have you measured its success? What have you learned from this approach that can be applied more broadly? (400 words)

JUDGING CRITERIA
Innovation (20 points available):
- Is it clear what is new or unique about this project (for example in terms of depth or breadth of scope, methodology or results)? (10)
- Is there evidence of advantages or benefits to this approach that are not present in other similar projects (for example in terms of better financial returns, lower risk, positive impact measurements or lower costs)? (10)

Implementation (24 points available):
- Is there evidence of a systematic approach to gathering and analysing data and making decisions? (10)
- Is there evidence of multiple teams within the firm – e.g. ESG teams, investment teams, risk team, asset allocation team, senior management team – taking a role in the implementation of the initiative? (10)
- Is there evidence that the project team were able to be flexible and respond to challenges as they arose? (2)
- Has the project operated within its pre-defined constraints, such as timelines and budget? (2)

Clarity of objective(s) (15 points available):
- Is there evidence of a clear rationale for why this project was conducted and what it was expected to achieve? (5)
- Is there evidence that the project’s goals were made clear to all stakeholders from the point of inception, including senior management? (5)
- Did the project team have clearly defined measures or KPIs from inception, and is it clear how these related to the project’s goals? (5)

Fulfilment of objective(s) (15 points available):
- Is there evidence that this approach had an impact on financial risk or return? (5)
- How has the project performed against its measures or KPIs and to what extent has it met its goals? If the project is ongoing, is it on track? (5)
- Is it clear how lessons learnt from this project have been captured and are there mechanisms in place to factor these lessons into future project planning? (5)
Impact (7 points available):

- Is there evidence of social or environmental impacts as a result of the ESG incorporation project? (2)
- Is there evidence that the project team considered the project holistically, evaluating its potential negative environmental and social impacts as well as positive? (5)

Highest possible total score: 81 points

ESG RESEARCH REPORT OF THE YEAR

DESCRIPTION
This award will recognise a research report that has broken new ground in the development and dissemination of responsible investment approaches. In particular, the judges of the 2019 award will be looking for research that offers new and meaningful insights into the practical implications of macro trends (such as environmental challenges, society and demographics, globalisation and connectivity, emerging economy growth and dynamism, or technological advances1) for responsible investment. Entrants will be judged on the originality of their approach, rigour of the research process and potential impact of their findings.

Please note, this award will recognise research projects conducted by PRI signatories, i.e. investment practitioners. Academic research should be submitted for the PRI award for outstanding research, organised by the PRI Academic Network.

ENTRY QUESTIONS
1. Give a brief overview of your project’s objectives and how your approach to the subject matter differed from previous research. (400 words)
2. Describe your methodology, including how you addressed macro trends and mechanisms for effecting systemic change. (400 words)
3. Outline how your findings have been applied in a practical context and their wider benefits for investors or the financial system. (400 words)

JUDGING CRITERIA

Impact (40 points available)

- To what extent does this project demonstrate new, meaningful insights into the impact of macro trends on the financial system? (10)
- Does the entry provide examples of how the findings of this project have played a material role in creating a more sustainable financial system? (10)
- How broad is the impact of this report beyond the entrant organisation(s)? Is it clear how other investors will be able to apply its findings? (10)
- Is it clear how lessons learnt from this project have been captured and how these can be applied to future work? (10)

---

1 As defined in Responding to megatrends: PRI and Willis Towers Watson Investment Institutions Trend Index 2017 [https://www.unpri.org/download?ac=4078]
Innovation (25 points available)

■ Does the project make a substantial contribution to advancing knowledge or understanding of the relevant field with the identified audience? (10)
■ Is it clear what is new or unique about this project, and how significant are these differences in terms of the project’s outcomes or applications? (10)
■ Is there evidence of a clear rationale for why this project was conducted and what it was expected to achieve? (5)

Research Process (30 points available)

■ Has the entrant demonstrated a clear understanding of the body of related knowledge, and where their project sits in relation to it? (5)
■ Is it clear from the entry what processes or methodologies were used in conducting the research, such that they could be applied by another investor? (10)
■ Are these processes or methodologies sufficiently rigorous to generate meaningful outcomes? (10)
■ To what extent has the project achieved its stated objectives? (5)

Highest possible total score: 95 points

REAL WORLD IMPACT INITIATIVE OF THE YEAR

DESCRIPTION
This award will be given to a signatory who has focused their investment activities on specific environmental or social impacts, for example by identifying new low carbon investment opportunities, or by aligning their investments with one or more Sustainable Development Goals. The winner will have identified clear objectives for their project and will be able to demonstrate substantive progress towards achieving these.

ENTRY QUESTIONS
1. Give a brief overview of your project, its objectives, and why you decided to undertake it. (400 words)
2. Please describe the scale of the project, financially and in impact terms. (250 words)
3. Describe the process of delivering the project, including any challenges and how these were overcome. (300 words)
4. How successful has the project been and how have you measured this? What have you learned from this project that can be applied more broadly? (400 words)

3 Further information is available at https://impactmanagementproject.com/
**JUDGING CRITERIA**

**Impact (35 points available):**
- How big a change has resulted (will result) from this project? How ambitious were the project’s goals? (10)
- Is there evidence that the project team considered the project holistically, evaluating its potential negative impacts as well as positive? (10)
- Can the project team demonstrate a measurable impact that is attributable to the project? (10)
- To what extent does the investment contribute to solutions (as defined by the IMP)? How long term is the impact and how many people does it reach? (5)

**Innovation (20 points available):**
- Is it clear what is new or unique about this project (for example in terms of objectives, methodology or results)? (5)
- To what extent has the problem this project sets out to solve not been (successfully) addressed elsewhere? (5)
- Is there evidence of advantages or benefits to this project that are not present in other similar projects (for example in terms of better outcomes, lower risk or lower costs)? (10)

**Clarity of objective(s) (14 points available):**
- Is it clear that this project was launched with the intention to generate positive social and/or environmental impact? (5)
- Is it clear why and how this particular project and its resulting impact were selected, and that there was a need for this investment? (5)
- Is there evidence that the project’s goals were made clear to all stakeholders from the point of inception? (2)
- Did the project team have clearly defined measures or KPIs from inception, and is it clear how these related to the project’s goals? (2)

**Fulfilment of objectives (20 points available):**
- How has the project performed against its measures or KPIs and to what extent has it met its goals? If the project is ongoing, is it on track? (10)
- Is it clear how lessons learnt from this project have been captured and are there mechanisms in place to factor these lessons into future project planning? (5)
- To what extent does the investment tie impact returns to carry, financial returns or employee incentives? (5)

**Implementation (6 points available):**
- Is there evidence of a clear plan for delivering the project? (2)
- Has the project operated within its pre-defined constraints, such as timelines and budget? (2)
- Is there evidence that the project team were able to be flexible and respond to challenges as they arose? (2)

**Highest possible total score: 95 points**